



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

il  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/554,178	10/21/2005	Alan Timothy Gibbs	30451/04014	7862
24024	7590	08/16/2007	EXAMINER	
CALFEE HALTER & GRISWOLD, LLP			VENNE, DANIEL V	
800 SUPERIOR AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1400			3617	
CLEVELAND, OH 44114				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
		08/16/2007 PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/554,178	GIBBS, ALAN TIMOTHY	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Daniel V. Venne	3617	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 August 2007.  
 2a) This action is **FINAL**.                    2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-9 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-9 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 October 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. An amendment was received from applicant on 8/6/2005.
2. Claims 1, 2, 4 and 7-9 are amended.
3. Claims 3 and 10 are cancelled.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1, 2 and 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gere et al. (US 5590617), in view of Caserta et al. Gere et al. discloses all of the claimed features, with the exception of the wheels and the marine propulsion unit steering arranged to be operated simultaneously, as recited. Caserta et al. discloses the wheels and marine propulsion unit steering arranged to be operated simultaneously, as claimed (See Fig. 9 and col. 2, lines 19-23). Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to provide simultaneous wheel and marine propulsion unit steering with Gere et al. as disclosed by Caserta et al. to create the invention as claimed by applicant. The motivation would have been to improve upon the steering capability for the watercraft, especially during transition from marine mode to land mode of operation.

Art Unit: 3617

6. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gere et al. (US 5590617), in view of Caserta et al. (US 5727494) with respect to claim 1, and further in view of Bufler Ernst (DE 3820967 A1). Gere et al. in view of Caserta et al. discloses all of the claimed features as indicated above, with the exception of being fitted with more than one steered axle, as claimed. Bufler Ernst (cited by applicant) shows more than one steered axle with steering provided in part by cable means [9]. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to provide an additional steerable means for the wheels on the secondary axle as taught by Bufler Ernst by modifying the cable connections and mechanisms of Gere et al. to include steering capability for the additional axle. The motivation would have been to provide a more versatile and maneuverable vehicle for land operation.

7. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gere et al. (US 5590617), in view of Caserta et al. (US 5727494) with respect to claim 1. Gere et al. in view of Caserta et al. discloses all of the claimed features as indicated above, with the exception of being fitted with more than one steered marine propulsion unit. Providing more than one marine propulsion unit is considered a design choice depending on power needs and performance desired for use for marine operation. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to provide an additional marine propulsion unit with Gere et al. as a design choice. The motivation

would have been to provide a more versatile, maneuverable and powerful vehicle for water operation.

***Response to Arguments***

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 8 and 9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Conclusion***

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Roycroft et al. (US 6796856 B2) discloses an amphibious vehicle with simultaneous wheel and marine propulsion steering (see Fig. 5).

Art Unit: 3617

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel V. Venne whose telephone number is (571) 272-7947. The examiner can normally be reached between 7:30AM - 4:00PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel J. Morano can be reached on (571) 272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (In USA or CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DVV  
10 August 2007

LARS A. OLSON  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

*Lars Olson*  
8/14/07